

Empirical Research on Economic Inequality

Top tax rates and optimal taxation

Maximilian Kasy

Harvard University, fall 2015

Redistribution through taxation

- ▶ Important policy tool to deal with inequality
- ▶ How to choose a tax and transfer system, tax rates?
- ▶ \Rightarrow Theory of optimal taxation
- ▶ Key assumptions:
 1. Evaluate individual welfare in terms of utility.
 2. Take welfare weights as given.
 3. Impose government budget constraint.

Feasible policy changes

- ▶ Consider small change in tax rates.
- ▶ Has to respect government budget constraint
⇒ zero effect on revenues.
- ▶ Total revenue effect:
 1. Mechanical part: accounting; holding behavior (tax base) fixed.
 2. Behavioral responses: changing tax base.

When are taxes optimal?

- ▶ Optimality: no feasible change improves social welfare.
- ▶ This implies:
 - zero effect on social welfare for any feasible small change
- ▶ \approx first order condition
- ▶ Effect of change on social welfare:
 1. Individual welfare: equivalent variation
 2. Social welfare: sum up using welfare weights

Effect on social welfare SWF

- ▶ Small change $d\tau$ of some tax parameter
- ▶ Effect on social welfare:

$$dSWF = \sum_i \omega_i \cdot EV_i$$

- ▶ ω_i : value of additional \$ for person i
- ▶ EV_i : equivalent variation - cf. last class
- ▶ By the envelope theorem:
 EV_i is mechanical effect on i 's budget,
holding all choices constant.
- ▶ e.g., $EV_i = -x_i \cdot d\tau$ for tax τ on x_i

Effect on government budget G

- ▶ Mechanical effect plus behavioral effect
- ▶ For instance for a tax τ on x_i ,

$$dG = \sum_i x_i \cdot d\tau + dx_i \cdot \tau.$$

- ▶ Estimating dx_i part is difficult, the rest is accounting.
- ▶ Possible complication: effect of tax change on market prices.
- ▶ This complication is often ignored.

Top income taxes

- ▶ Optimal top income taxes?
- ▶ Suppose welfare weights (value of additional \$) are very small for the very rich, relative to the average.
- ▶ Then optimal top income taxes maximize revenue – simpler problem.
- ▶ Tax rate τ for incomes above cut-off \underline{y}
- ▶ Tax revenues from top bracket, per tax payer:

$$G(\tau) = \tau \cdot (E[Y|Y \geq \underline{y}] - \underline{y})$$

First order condition for maximizing revenue

- ▶ Mechanical and behavioral effect:

$$\partial_{\tau} G(\tau) = (E[Y|Y \geq \underline{y}] - \underline{y}) + \tau \cdot E[\partial_{\tau} Y|Y \geq \underline{y}] \stackrel{!}{=} 0$$

- ▶ Remember the Pareto distribution?

$$P(Y > y|Y \geq \underline{y}) = (\underline{y}/y)^{\alpha}$$
$$E[Y|Y \geq \underline{y}] = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \cdot \underline{y}.$$

Tax elasticity of income

- ▶ Elasticity notation:

$$\begin{aligned}\eta &= \frac{\partial \log(Y)}{\partial \log(1 - \tau)} \\ &= -\frac{\partial Y}{\partial \tau} \cdot \frac{1 - \tau}{Y}\end{aligned}$$

- ▶ Elasticity of income with respect to net-of-tax rate $(1 - \tau)$
- ▶ In this notation:

$$E[\partial_{\tau} Y | Y \geq \underline{y}] = -\frac{\eta}{1 - \tau} E[Y | Y \geq \underline{y}]$$

Questions for you

Solve for the optimal τ , using

1. The first order condition

$$(E[Y|Y \geq \underline{y}] - \underline{y}) + \tau \cdot E[\partial_{\tau} Y|Y \geq \underline{y}] \stackrel{!}{=} 0,$$

2. the property of the Pareto distribution that

$$E[Y|Y \geq \underline{y}] = \frac{\alpha}{\alpha - 1} \cdot \underline{y},$$

3. and the elasticity notation,

$$E[\partial_{\tau} Y|Y \geq \underline{y}] = -\frac{\eta}{1 - \tau} E[Y|Y \geq \underline{y}].$$

Solution

- ▶ Plugging 2 and 3 into the FOC:

$$\begin{aligned}\partial_{\tau}G(\tau) &= (E[Y|Y \geq \underline{y}] - \underline{y}) - \frac{\tau}{1-\tau} \cdot \eta \cdot E[Y|Y \geq \underline{y}] \\ &= \underline{y} \cdot \left(\frac{\alpha}{\alpha-1} \cdot \left(1 - \frac{\tau}{1-\tau} \cdot \eta \right) - 1 \right) \stackrel{!}{=} 0\end{aligned}\quad (1)$$

- ▶ After some algebra,

$$\tau^* = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha \cdot \eta}.$$

Questions for you

How do optimal tax rates depend on the amount of income inequality, and on the elasticity of taxable income?

Plugging in some numbers

- ▶ Reasonable estimate of the Pareto parameter: $\alpha = 2$
(cf. Atkinson et al. 2011)
- ▶ Reasonable estimate of the elasticity: $\eta = 0.25$
- ▶ Then

$$\tau^* = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha \cdot \eta} = \frac{1}{1 + 0.5} = 67\%.$$

References

Saez, E. (2001). Using elasticities to derive optimal income tax rates. The Review of Economic Studies, 68(1):205–229.

Chetty, R. (2009). Sufficient statistics for welfare analysis: A bridge between structural and reduced-form methods. Annual Review of Economics, 1(1):451–488.