Foundations of machine learning Online convex optimization

Maximilian Kasy

Department of Economics, University of Oxford

Winter 2025

Outline

- Setup of online convex optimization:
 - Iteratively choose x_t .
 - Observe loss $f_t(x_t)$ and gradient $\nabla f_t(x_t)$.
- Baseline algorithm: Online gradient descent (OGD).
- Adversarial regret guarantee for OGD.
- Connection to related settings:
 - Adversarial online learning.
 - Stochastic gradient descent.
 - Multiarmed bandits.

Takeaways for this part of class

- Online convex optimization provides a natural framework to connect learning theory and optimization theory.
- Adversarial regret guarantee: Adversarial regret grows at a rate of \sqrt{T} .
- Other settings can be reduced to online convex optimization:
 - Stochastic gradient descent:
 Adversarial bounds imply stochastic bounds.

 Return average of x_t at the end.
 - Bandit settings:
 Form unbiased estimators of loss and gradients using inverse probability weighting.

Online gradient descent

Connection to other learning problems

References

Setup

- Sequential choices $x_t \in \mathcal{K}$, where \mathcal{K} is convex.
- Convex loss functions $f_t(\cdot)$.
- Observable, after choice of x_t :
 - Cost $f_t(x_t)$.
 - Gradient $\nabla f_t(x_t)$.
- Regret:

$$R_T = \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_t(x_t) - \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_t(x^*),$$

where

$$x^* = \underset{x \in \mathcal{K}}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{t=1}^{T} f_t(x).$$

Online gradient descent

- For each t = 1 to T:
 - 1. Play x_t .
 - 2. Observe $\nabla_t = \nabla f_t(x_t)$.
 - 3. Update with a gradient step:

$$y_{t+1} = x_t - \eta_t \cdot \nabla_t$$
.

4. Project into \mathcal{K} :

$$x_{t+1} = \Pi_{\mathscr{K}} y_{t+1}.$$

• The stepsizes η_t are tuning parameters, to be specified.

Adversarial regret bound

Consider online gradient descent with step-sizes

$$\eta_t = rac{D}{G\sqrt{t}},$$

where

$$||x - y|| \le D \quad \forall x, y \in \mathcal{K}, \qquad ||\nabla f(x)|| \le G \quad \forall x \in \mathcal{K}.$$

$$\|\nabla f(x)\| \le G \quad \forall x \in \mathscr{K}$$

• Then:

$$R_T \leq \frac{3}{2}GD\sqrt{T}$$
.

Proof

• By convexity of f_t :

$$f_t(x_t) - f_t(x^*) \le \nabla_t \cdot (x_t - x^*).$$

• By orthogonal projection:

$$||x_{t+1}-x^*|| \leq ||y_{t+1}-x^*||.$$

• By definition of gradient update:

$$||y_{t+1} - x^*||^2 = ||x_t - x^*||^2 + \eta_t^2 ||\nabla_t||^2 - 2\eta_t \nabla_t \cdot (x_t - x^*).$$

• Rearrange. By upper bound on ∇_t :

$$2\nabla_t \cdot (x_t - x^*) \leq \frac{\|x_t - x^*\|^2 - \|x_{t+1} - x^*\|^2}{\eta_t} + \eta_t G^2.$$

Proof continued

Collect bounds and sum across t:

$$\begin{split} 2R_{t} &\leq 2\sum_{t} \nabla_{t} \cdot (x_{t} - x^{*}) \\ &\leq \sum_{t} \left[\frac{\|x_{t} - x^{*}\|^{2} - \|x_{t+1} - x^{*}\|^{2}}{\eta_{t}} + \eta_{t} G^{2} \right] \\ &\leq \sum_{t} \|x_{t} - x^{*}\|^{2} \left(\frac{1}{\eta_{t}} - \frac{1}{\eta_{t-1}} \right) + G^{2} \cdot \sum_{t} \eta_{t} \\ &\leq D^{2} \frac{1}{\eta_{T}} + G^{2} \cdot \sum_{t} \eta_{t} \\ &\leq 3DG\sqrt{T} \end{split} \tag{1/$\eta_{0} = 0, \|x_{T+1} - x^{*}\|^{2} \geq 0$)} \\ &\leq 3DG\sqrt{T} \tag{definition of η_{t}; $\sum_{t=1}^{T} 1/\sqrt{t} \leq 2\sqrt{T}$).} \end{split}$$

Online gradient descent

Connection to other learning problems

References

Online learning

- Recall the online learning problem:
 - Expert predictions $\hat{Y}_{h,t}$.
 - Loss $L(\hat{Y}_t, Y_t)$.
- Map into online convex optimization:
 - Weight vector $x_t = (x_{h,t})$ in the simplex \mathcal{K} .
 - Prediction:

$$\hat{Y}_t = \sum_h x_{h,t} \cdot \hat{Y}_{h,t}.$$

Gradient:

$$\nabla_t = (\hat{Y}_{h,t})_h \cdot \partial_{\hat{Y}} L(\hat{Y}_t, Y_t).$$

Stochastic gradient descent

- Recall the stochastic optimization setting:
 - Our goal is to minimize f(x) w.r.t. x.
 - We observe unbiased gradient estimates ∇_t :

$$E[\nabla_t|x_t] = \nabla f(x_t).$$

Think:
$$\nabla_t = \nabla m(x, Z_t)$$
.

- Stochastic gradient descent:
 - 1. Apply online gradient descent.
 - 2. Return $\bar{x}_T = \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T x_t$.

Regret bound for stochastic gradient descent

Assume $E[\|\nabla_t\|^2] \leq G^2$. Then

$$E[f(\bar{x}_T)] - f(x^*) \le \frac{3GD}{2\sqrt{T}}.$$

Sketch of proof:

$$E[f(\bar{x}_T)] - f(x^*) \le \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T E[f(x_t) - f(x_*)]$$
 (convexity)

$$\le \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^T E[\nabla_t \cdot (x_t - x_*)]$$
 ($E[\nabla_t | x_t] = \nabla f(x_t)$)

$$\le \frac{3GD}{2\sqrt{T}}.$$
 (Theorem for OGD)

Multi-armed bandits

- Coming up next in class.
- Only observe loss L_t for actions actually chosen.
- For randomized algorithms, we can form unbiased estimators of the gradient of reward:

$$\nabla_t = \left(L_t \cdot \frac{1(D_t = d)}{x_{d,t}} \right)_d$$

 This allows us to reduce the adversarial bandit problem to an online convex optimization problem.

References

Hazan, E. (2016). Introduction to online convex optimization. Foundations and Trends in Optimization, 2(3-4):157–325, chapter 3.