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## Outline

- 6 equivalent representations of the posterior mean in the Normal-Normal model.
- Gaussian process priors for regression functions.
- Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces and splines.
- Applications from my own work, to

1. Optimal treatment assignment in experiments.
2. Optimal insurance and taxation.

## Takeaways for this part of class

- In a Normal means model with Normal prior, there are a number of equivalent ways to think about regularization.
- Posterior mean, penalized least squares, shrinkage, etc.
- We can extend from estimation of means to estimation of functions using Gaussian process priors.
- Gaussian process priors yield the same function estimates as penalized least squares regressions.
- Theoretical tool: Reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces.
- Special case: Spline regression.
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Normal posterior means - equivalent representations Setup

- $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$
- $\boldsymbol{X} \mid \theta \sim N\left(\theta, I_{k}\right)$
- Loss

$$
L(\widehat{\theta}, \theta)=\sum_{i}\left(\widehat{\theta}_{i}-\theta_{i}\right)^{2}
$$

- Prior

$$
\theta \sim N(0, C)
$$

## 6 equivalent representations of the posterior mean

1. Minimizer of weighted average risk
2. Minimizer of posterior expected loss
3. Posterior expectation
4. Posterior best linear predictor
5. Penalized least squares estimator
6. Shrinkage estimator

## 1) Minimizer of weighted average risk

- Minimize weighted average risk (= Bayes risk),
- averaging loss $L(\widehat{\theta}, \theta)=(\widehat{\theta}-\theta)^{2}$ over both

1. the sampling distribution $f_{\boldsymbol{X} \mid \theta}$, and
2. weighting values of $\theta$ using the decision weights (prior) $\pi_{\theta}$.

- Formally,

$$
\widehat{\theta}(\cdot)=\underset{t(\cdot)}{\operatorname{argmin}} \int E_{\theta}[L(t(\boldsymbol{X}), \theta)] d \pi(\theta) .
$$

## 2) Minimizer of posterior expected loss

- Minimize posterior expected loss,
- averaging loss $L(\widehat{\theta}, \theta)=(\widehat{\theta}-\theta)^{2}$ over

1. just the posterior distribution $\pi_{\theta \mid \boldsymbol{X}}$.

- Formally,

$$
\widehat{\theta}(x)=\underset{t}{\operatorname{argmin}} \int L(t, \theta) d \pi_{\theta \mid \boldsymbol{X}}(\theta \mid x) .
$$

3 and 4) Posterior expectation and posterior best linear predictor

- Note that

$$
\binom{X}{\theta} \sim N\left(0,\left(\begin{array}{cc}
C+I & C \\
C & C
\end{array}\right)\right) .
$$

- Posterior expectation:

$$
\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}=E[\theta \mid \boldsymbol{X}] .
$$

- Posterior best linear predictor:

$$
\widehat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}=E^{*}[\theta \mid \boldsymbol{X}]=C \cdot(C+I)^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}
$$

## 5) Penalization

- Minimize

1. the sum of squared residuals,
2. plus a quadratic penalty term.

- Formally,

$$
\widehat{\theta}=\underset{t}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-t_{i}\right)^{2}+\|t\|^{2},
$$

- where

$$
\|t\|^{2}=t^{\prime} C^{-1} t
$$

## 6) Shrinkage

- Diagonalize C: Find

1. orthonormal matrix $U$ of eigenvectors, and
2. diagonal matrix $D$ of eigenvalues, so that

$$
C=U D U^{\prime} .
$$

- Change of coordinates, using U:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\boldsymbol{X}}=U^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X} \\
& \tilde{\theta}=U^{\prime} \theta .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Componentwise shrinkage in the new coordinates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widehat{\tilde{\theta}}_{i}=\frac{d_{i}}{d_{i}+1} \tilde{X}_{i} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Practice problem
Show that these 6 objects are all equivalent to each other.

## Solution (sketch)

1. Minimizer of weighted average risk = minimizer of posterior expected loss: See decision slides.
2. Minimizer of posterior expected loss = posterior expectation:

- First order condition for quadratic loss function,
- pull derivative inside,
- and switch order of integration.

3. Posterior expectation = posterior best linear predictor:

- $\boldsymbol{X}$ and $\theta$ are jointly Normal,
- conditional expectations for multivariate Normals are linear.

4. Posterior expectation $\Rightarrow$ penalized least squares:

- Posterior is symmetric unimodal $\Rightarrow$ posterior mean is posterior mode.
- Posterior mode = maximizer of posterior log-likelihood = maximizer of joint log likelihood,
- since denominator $f_{\boldsymbol{X}}$ does not depend on $\boldsymbol{\theta}$.


## Solution (sketch) continued

5. Penalized least squares $\Rightarrow$ posterior expectation:

- Any penalty of the form

$$
t^{\prime} A t
$$

for $A$ symmetric positive definite

- corresponds to the log of a Normal prior

$$
\theta \sim N\left(0, A^{-1}\right)
$$

6. Componentwise shrinkage $=$ posterior best linear predictor:

- Change of coordinates turns $\widehat{\theta}=C \cdot(C+I)^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}$ into

$$
\widehat{\tilde{\theta}}=D \cdot(D+I)^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{X} .
$$

- Diagonality implies

$$
D \cdot(D+I)^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}\left(\frac{d_{i}}{d_{i}+1}\right)
$$
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## Gaussian processes for machine learning Machine Learning $\Leftrightarrow$ metrics dictionary

| machine learning | metrics |
| :--- | :--- |
| supervised learning | regression |
| features | regressors |
| weights | coefficients |
| bias | intercept |

## Gaussian prior for linear regression

- Normal linear regression model:
- Suppose we observe $n$ i.i.d. draws of $\left(Y_{i}, X_{i}\right)$, where $Y_{i}$ is real valued and $X_{i}$ is a $k$ vector.
- $Y_{i}=X_{i} \cdot \beta+\varepsilon_{i}$
- $\varepsilon_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{X}, \beta \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$
- $\beta \mid \boldsymbol{X} \sim N(0, \Omega)$ (prior)
- Note: will leave conditioning on $\boldsymbol{X}$ implicit in following slides.

Practice problem ("weight space view")

- Find the posterior expectation of $\beta$
- Hints:

1. The posterior expectation is the maximum a posteriori.
2. The log likelihood takes a penalized least squares form.

- Find the posterior expectation of $x \cdot \beta$ for some (non-random) point $x$.


## Solution

- Joint log likelihood of $Y, \beta$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\log \left(f_{Y \beta}\right) & =\log \left(f_{Y \mid \beta}\right)+\log \left(f_{\beta}\right) \\
& =\text { const. }-\frac{1}{2 \sigma^{2}} \sum_{i}\left(Y_{i}-X_{i} \beta\right)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} \beta^{\prime} \Omega^{-1} \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

- First order condition for maximum a posteriori:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\frac{\partial f_{Y \beta}}{\partial \beta}=\frac{1}{\sigma^{2}} \sum_{i}\left(Y_{i}-X_{i} \beta\right) \cdot X_{i}-\beta^{\prime} \Omega^{-1} . \\
& \Rightarrow \widehat{\beta}=\left(\sum_{i} X_{i}^{\prime} X_{i}+\sigma^{2} \Omega^{-1}\right)^{-1} \cdot \sum X_{i}^{\prime} Y_{i} .
\end{aligned}
$$

- Thus

$$
E[x \cdot \beta \mid \boldsymbol{Y}]=x \cdot \widehat{\beta}=x \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}+\sigma^{2} \Omega^{-1}\right)^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{Y}
$$

- Previous derivation required inverting $k \times k$ matrix.
- Can instead do prediction inverting an $n \times n$ matrix.
- $n$ might be smaller than $k$ if there are many "features."
- This will lead to a "function space view" of prediction.


## Practice problem ("kernel trick")

- Find the posterior expectation of

$$
f(x)=E[Y \mid X=x]=x \cdot \beta .
$$

- Wait, didn't we just do that?
- Hints:

1. Start by figuring out the variance / covariance matrix of $(x \cdot \beta, \boldsymbol{Y})$.
2. Then deduce the best linear predictor of $\boldsymbol{x} \cdot \boldsymbol{\beta}$ given $\boldsymbol{Y}$.

## Solution

- The joint distribution of $(x \cdot \beta, \boldsymbol{Y})$ is given by

$$
\binom{x \cdot \beta}{\boldsymbol{Y}} \sim N\left(0,\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x \Omega x^{\prime} & x \Omega \boldsymbol{X}^{\prime} \\
\boldsymbol{X} \Omega x^{\prime} & \boldsymbol{X} \Omega \boldsymbol{X}^{\prime}+\sigma^{2} I_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right)
$$

- Denote $C=X \Omega X^{\prime}$ and $c(x)=x \Omega X^{\prime}$.
- Then

$$
E[x \cdot \beta \mid \boldsymbol{Y}]=c(x) \cdot\left(C+\sigma^{2} I_{n}\right)^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{Y}
$$

- Contrast with previous representation:

$$
E[x \cdot \beta \mid \boldsymbol{Y}]=x \cdot\left(\boldsymbol{X}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{X}+\sigma^{2} \Omega^{-1}\right)^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{X}^{\prime} \boldsymbol{Y}
$$

## General GP regression

- Suppose we observe $n$ i.i.d. draws of $\left(Y_{i}, X_{i}\right)$, where $Y_{i}$ is real valued and $X_{i}$ is a $k$ vector.
- $Y_{i}=f\left(X_{i}\right)+\varepsilon_{i}$
- $\varepsilon_{i} \mid \boldsymbol{X}, f(\cdot) \sim N\left(0, \sigma^{2}\right)$
- Prior: $f$ is distributed according to a Gaussian process,

$$
f \mid \boldsymbol{X} \sim G P(0, C),
$$

where $C$ is a covariance kernel,

$$
\operatorname{Cov}\left(f(x), f\left(x^{\prime}\right) \mid \boldsymbol{X}\right)=C\left(x, x^{\prime}\right) .
$$

- We will again leave conditioning on $\boldsymbol{X}$ implicit in following slides.

Practice problem

- Find the posterior expectation of $f(x)$.
- Hints:

1. Start by figuring out the variance / covariance matrix of $(f(x), \boldsymbol{Y})$.
2. Then deduce the best linear predictor of $f(x)$ given $\boldsymbol{Y}$.

## Solution

- The joint distribution of $(f(x), \boldsymbol{Y})$ is given by

$$
\binom{f(x)}{\boldsymbol{Y}} \sim N\left(0,\left(\begin{array}{cc}
c(x, x) & c(x) \\
c(x)^{\prime} & C+\sigma^{2} I_{n}
\end{array}\right)\right)
$$

where

- $c(x)$ is the $n$ vector with entries $C\left(x, X_{i}\right)$,
- and $C$ is the $n \times n$ matrix with entries $C_{i, j}=C\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)$.
- Then, as before,

$$
E[f(x) \mid \boldsymbol{Y}]=c(x) \cdot\left(C+\sigma^{2} I_{n}\right)^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{Y}
$$

- Read: $\widehat{f}(\cdot)=E[f(\cdot) \mid \boldsymbol{Y}]$
- is a linear combination of the functions $C\left(\cdot, X_{i}\right)$
- with weights $\left(C+\sigma^{2} I_{n}\right)^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{Y}$.


## Hyperparameters and marginal likelihood

- Usually, covariance kernel $C(\cdot, \cdot)$ depends on on hyperparameters $\eta$.
- Example: squared exponential kernel with $\eta=\left(I, \tau^{2}\right)$ (length-scale $I$, variance $\tau^{2}$ ).

$$
C\left(x, x^{\prime}\right)=\tau^{2} \cdot \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left\|x-x^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\right)
$$

- Following the empirical Bayes paradigm, we can estimate $\eta$ by maximizing the marginal log likelihood:

$$
\widehat{\eta}=\underset{\eta}{\operatorname{argmax}}-\frac{1}{2}\left|\operatorname{det}\left(C_{\eta}+\sigma^{2} I\right)\right|-\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{Y}^{\prime}\left(C_{\eta}+\sigma^{2} I\right)^{-1} \boldsymbol{Y}
$$

- Alternatively, we could choose $\eta$ using cross-validation or Stein's unbiased risk estimate.
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## Splines and Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces

- Penalized least squares: For some (semi-)norm \|f \|,

$$
\widehat{f}=\underset{f}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i}\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\lambda\|f\|^{2} .
$$

- Leading case: Splines, e.g.,

$$
\widehat{f}=\underset{f}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i}\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\lambda \int f^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x .
$$

- Can we think of penalized regressions in terms of a prior?
- If so, what is the prior distribution?


## The finite dimensional case

- Consider the finite dimensional analog to penalized regression:

$$
\widehat{\theta}=\underset{t}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(X_{i}-t_{i}\right)^{2}+\|t\|_{C}^{2},
$$

where

$$
\|t\|_{C}^{2}=t^{\prime} C^{-1} t .
$$

- We saw before that this is the posterior mean when
- $X \mid \theta \sim N\left(\theta, I_{k}\right)$,
- $\theta \sim N(0, C)$.


## The reproducing property

- The norm $\|t\|_{C}$ corresponds to the inner product

$$
\langle t, s\rangle_{C}=t^{\prime} C^{-1} s
$$

- Let $C_{i}=\left(C_{i 1}, \ldots, C_{i k}\right)^{\prime}$.
- Then, for any vector $y$,

$$
\left\langle C_{i}, y\right\rangle_{C}=y_{i} .
$$

## Practice problem

Verify this.

## Reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces

- Now consider a general Hilbert space of functions equipped with an inner product $\langle\cdot, \cdot\rangle$ and corresponding norm $\|\cdot\|$,
- such that for all $x$ there exists an $M_{x}$ such that for all $f$

$$
f(x) \leq M_{x} \cdot\|f\| .
$$

- Read: "Function evaluation is continuous with respect to the norm \|• $\|$. ."
- Hilbert spaces with this property are called reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces (RKHS).
- Note that $L^{2}$ spaces are not RKHS in genera!!


## The reproducing kernel

- Riesz representation theorem: For every continuous linear functional $L$ on a Hilbert space $\mathscr{H}$, there exists a $g_{L} \in \mathscr{H}$ such that for all $f \in \mathscr{H}$

$$
L(f)=\left\langle g_{L}, f\right\rangle
$$

- Applied to function evaluation on RKHS:

$$
f(x)=\left\langle C_{x}, f\right\rangle
$$

- Define the reproducing kernel:

$$
C\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\left\langle C_{x_{1}}, C_{x_{2}}\right\rangle .
$$

- By construction:

$$
C\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=C_{x_{1}}\left(x_{2}\right)=C_{x_{2}}\left(x_{1}\right)
$$

## Practice problem

- Show that $C(\cdot, \cdot)$ is positive semi-definite, i.e., for any $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$ and $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$

$$
\sum_{i, j} a_{i} a_{j} C\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) \geq 0 .
$$

- Given a positive definite kernel $C(\cdot, \cdot)$, construct a corresponding Hilbert space.


## Solution

- Positive definiteness:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i, j} a_{i} a_{j} C\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right) & =\sum_{i, j} a_{i} a_{j}\left\langle C_{x_{i}}, C_{x_{j}}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\sum_{i} a_{i} C_{x_{i}}, \sum_{j} a_{j} C_{x_{j}}\right\rangle=\left\|\sum_{i} a_{i} C_{x_{i}}\right\|^{2} \geq 0
\end{aligned}
$$

- Construction of Hilbert space: Take linear combinations of the functions $C(x, \cdot)$ (and their limits) with inner product

$$
\left\langle\sum_{i} a_{i} C\left(x_{i}, \cdot\right), \sum_{j} b_{j} C\left(y_{j}, \cdot\right)\right\rangle_{C}=\sum_{i, j} a_{i} a_{j} C\left(x_{i}, y_{j}\right)
$$

- Kolmogorov consistency theorem: For a positive definite kernel $C(\cdot, \cdot)$ we can always define a corresponding prior

$$
f \sim G P(0, C)
$$

- Recap:
- For each regression penalty,
- when function evaluation is continuous w.r.t. the penalty norm
- there exists a corresponding prior.
- Next:
- The solution to the penalized regression problem
- is the posterior mean for this prior.


## Solution to penalized regression

- Let $f$ be the solution to the penalized regression

$$
\widehat{f}=\underset{f}{\operatorname{argmin}} \sum_{i}\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\lambda\|f\|_{C}^{2} .
$$

## Practice problem

- Show that the solution to the penalized regression has the form

$$
\widehat{f}(x)=c(x) \cdot(C+n \lambda I)^{-1} \cdot \boldsymbol{Y}
$$

where $C_{i j}=C\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)$ and $c(x)=\left(C\left(X_{1}, x\right), \ldots, C\left(X_{n}, x\right)\right)$.

- Hints
- Write $\widehat{f}(\cdot)=\sum a_{i} \cdot C\left(X_{i}, \cdot\right)+\rho(\cdot)$,
- where $\rho$ is orthogonal to $C\left(X_{i}, \cdot\right)$ for all $i$.
- Show that $\rho=0$.
- Solve the resulting least squares problem in $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}$.


## Solution

- Using the reproducing property, the objective can be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i}\left(Y_{i}-f\left(X_{i}\right)\right)^{2}+\lambda\|f\|_{C}^{2} \\
= & \sum_{i}\left(Y_{i}-\left\langle C\left(X_{i}, \cdot\right), f\right\rangle\right)^{2}+\lambda\|f\|_{C}^{2} \\
= & \sum_{i}\left(Y_{i}-\left\langle C\left(X_{i}, \cdot\right), \sum_{j} a_{j} \cdot C\left(X_{j}, \cdot\right)+\rho\right\rangle\right)^{2}+\lambda\left\|\sum_{i} a_{i} \cdot C\left(X_{i}, \cdot\right)+\rho\right\|_{C}^{2} \\
= & \sum_{i}\left(Y_{i}-\sum_{j} a_{j} \cdot C\left(X_{i}, X_{j}\right)\right)^{2}+\lambda\left(\sum_{i, j} a_{i} a_{j} C\left(x_{i}, x_{j}\right)+\|\rho\|_{C}^{2}\right) \\
= & \|\boldsymbol{Y}-C \cdot \mathbf{a}\|^{2}+\lambda\left(\mathbf{a}^{\prime} C \mathbf{a}+\|\rho\|_{C}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

- Given $\mathbf{a}$, this is minimized by setting $\rho=0$.
- Now solve the quadratic program using first order conditions.


## Splines

- Now what about the spline penalty

$$
\int f^{\prime \prime}(x)^{2} d x ?
$$

- Is function evaluation continuous for this norm?
- Yes, if we restrict to functions such that $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)=0$.
- The penalty is a semi-norm that equals 0 for all linear functions.
- It corresponds to the GP prior with

$$
C\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=\frac{x_{1} x_{2}^{2}}{2}-\frac{x_{2}^{3}}{6}
$$

for $x_{2} \leq x_{1}$.

- This is in fact the covariance of integrated Brownian motion!


## Practice problem

Verify that $C$ is indeed the reproducing kernel for the inner product

$$
\langle f, g\rangle=\int_{0}^{1} f^{\prime \prime}(x) g^{\prime \prime}(x) d x .
$$

- Takeaway: Spline regression is equivalent to the limit of a posterior mean where the prior is such that

$$
f(x)=A_{0}+A_{1} \cdot x+g
$$

where

$$
g \sim G P(0, C)
$$

and

$$
A \sim N(0, v \cdot I)
$$

aS $V \rightarrow \infty$.

## Solution

- Have to show: $\left\langle C_{x}, g\right\rangle=g(x)$
- Plug in definition of $C_{X}$
- Last 2 steps: use integration by parts, use $g(0)=g^{\prime}(0)=0$
- This yields:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle C_{x}, g\right\rangle & =\int C_{x}^{\prime \prime}(y) g^{\prime \prime}(y) d y \\
& =\int_{0}^{x}\left(\frac{x y^{2}}{2}-\frac{y^{3}}{6}\right)^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime}(y) d y+\int_{x}^{1}\left(\frac{y x^{2}}{2}-\frac{x^{3}}{6}\right)^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime \prime}(y) d y \\
& =\int_{0}^{x}(x-y) g^{\prime \prime}(y) d y \\
& =x \cdot\left(g^{\prime}(x)-g^{\prime}(0)\right)+\int_{0}^{x} g^{\prime}(y) d y-\left.\left(y g^{\prime}(y)\right)\right|_{y=0} ^{x} \\
& =g(x)
\end{aligned}
$$
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