Foundations of machine learning Probably approximately correct learning

Maximilian Kasy

Department of Economics, University of Oxford

Hilary term 2023

Outline

- Definitions:
 - Classification and prediction problems.
 - Empirical risk minimization.
 - PAC learnability.
- Proving the "Fundamental Theorem of statistical learning:"
 - *ε*-representative samples.
 - Uniform convergence.
 - No free lunch.
 - Shatterings.
 - VC dimension.

Takeaways for this part of class

- Classification and prediction is about out-of-sample prediction errors.
- These can be decomposed into an approximation error ("bias") and an estimation error ("variance").
- There is a trade-off between the two. Larger classes of predictors imply less approximation error (no "underfitting"), but more estimation error ("overfitting").
- The worst-case estimation error depends on the VC-dimension of the class of predictors considered.

Setup and basic definitions

VC dimension and the Fundamental Theorem of statistical learning

References

Setup and notation

- Features (predictive covariates): X
- Labels (outcomes): $Y \in \{0, 1\}$
- Training data (sample): $S = \{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$
- Data generating process: (X_i, Y_i) are i.i.d. draws from a distribution \mathcal{D}
- Prediction rules (hypotheses): $h: X \rightarrow \{0, 1\}$

Learning algorithms

• Risk (generalization error): Probability of misclassification

$$L(h, \mathcal{D}) = E_{(X,Y)\sim\mathcal{D}} \left[\mathbf{1}(h(X) \neq Y) \right].$$

• Empirical risk: Sample analog of risk,

$$L(h, \mathcal{S}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i} \mathbf{1}(h(X) \neq Y).$$

• Learning algorithms map samples $S = \{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^n$ into predictors h_S .

Notation:
 h corresponds to *a* in the decision theory slides,
 D corresponds to θ.

Chihuahua or muffin?



Empirical risk minimization

• Optimal predictor:

$$h_{\mathcal{D}}^* = \operatorname*{argmin}_{h} L(h, \mathcal{D}) = \mathbf{1}(E_{(X,Y)\sim\mathcal{D}}[Y|X] \ge 1/2).$$

- Hypothesis class for h: H.
- Empirical risk minimization:

$$h_{\mathbb{S}}^{ERM} = \underset{h \in \mathcal{H}}{\operatorname{argmin}} L(h, \mathbb{S}).$$

 Special cases (for more general loss functions): Ordinary least squares, maximum likelihood, minimizing empirical risk over model parameters.

Practice problem

How does empirical risk minimization relate

- 1. to ordinary least squares, and
- 2. to maximum likelihood estimation?

(Agnostic) PAC learnability

Definition 3.3

A hypothesis class $\ensuremath{\mathcal{H}}$ is agnostic probably approximately correct (PAC) learnable if

- there exists a learning algorithm h_{S}
- such that for all $arepsilon,\delta\in(0,1)$ there exists an $n<\infty$
- such that for all distributions ${\mathfrak D}$

$$L(h_{\mathbb{S}}, \mathbb{D}) \leq \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}} L(h, \mathbb{D}) + \varepsilon$$

- with probability of at least 1 δ
- over the draws of training samples

$$\mathcal{S} = \{(\mathbf{X}_i, \mathbf{Y}_i)\}_{i=1}^n \sim^{iid} \mathcal{D}.$$

Discussion

- Definition is not specific to 0/1 prediction error loss.
- Worst case over all possible distributions \mathcal{D} .
- Requires small **regret**: The oracle-best predictor in $\mathcal H$ doesn't do much better.
- Comparison to the best predictor in the hypothesis class H rather than to the unconditional best predictor h^{*}_D.
- ⇒ The smaller the hypothesis class ℋ the easier it is to fulfill this definition.
- Definition requires small (relative) loss **with high probability**, not just in expectation.

Practice problem

How does PAC learnability relate to the performance criteria we discussed in the decision theory slides?

arepsilon-representative samples

• Definition 4.1

A training set § is called $\emph{\varepsilon}\text{-representative}$ if

 $\sup_{h\in\mathcal{H}} |L(h,\mathcal{S}) - L(h,\mathcal{D})| \leq \varepsilon.$

• *Lemma 4.2*

Suppose that S is $\varepsilon/2$ -representative. Then the empirical risk minimization predictor $h_{\rm S}^{\rm ERM}$ satisfies

$$L(h_{\mathbb{S}}^{ERM}, \mathcal{D}) \leq \inf_{h \in \mathcal{H}} L(h, \mathcal{D}) + \varepsilon.$$

• *Proof:* if *S* is $\varepsilon/2$ -representative, then for all $h \in \mathcal{H}$

$$L(h_{\mathbb{S}}^{ERM}, \mathbb{D}) \leq L(h_{\mathbb{S}}^{ERM}, \mathbb{S}) + \varepsilon/2 \leq L(h, \mathbb{S}) + \varepsilon/2 \leq L(h, \mathbb{D}) + \varepsilon.$$

Uniform convergence

- - for all $arepsilon,\delta\in(0,1)$ there exists an $n<\infty$
 - such that for all distributions $\ensuremath{\mathcal{D}}$
 - with probability of at least 1δ over draws of training samples $S = \{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^n \sim^{iid} D$
 - it holds that \$ is ε -representative.
- Corollary 4.4 If ${\mathcal H}$ has the uniform convergence property, then
 - 1. the class is agnostically PAC learnable, and
 - 2. h_{S}^{ERM} is a successful agnostic PAC learner for \mathcal{H} .
- Proof: From the definitions and Lemma 4.2.

Finite hypothesis classes

• Corollary 4.6

Let \mathcal{H} be a finite hypothesis class, and assume that loss is in [0,1]. Then \mathcal{H} enjoys the uniform convergence property, where we set

$$\mathfrak{n} = \left\lceil rac{\log(2|\mathcal{H}|/\delta)}{2arepsilon^2}
ight
ceil$$

The class $\ensuremath{\mathcal{H}}$ is therefore agnostically PAC learnable.

• Sketch of proof: Union bound over $h \in \mathcal{H}$, plus Hoeffding's inequality,

$$P(|L(h,\mathbb{S})-L(h,\mathbb{D})| > \varepsilon) \leq 2\exp(-2n\varepsilon^2).$$

No free lunch

Theorem 5.1

- Consider any learning algorithm $h_{\rm S}$ for binary classification with 0/1 loss on some domain ${\mathfrak X}$.
- Let $n < |\mathfrak{X}|/2$ be the training set size.
- Then there exists a \mathcal{D} on $\mathcal{X} \times \{0,1\}$, such that Y = f(X) for some f with probability 1, and
- with probability of at least 1/7 over the distribution of S,

 $L(h_{\mathbb{S}}, \mathcal{D}) \geq 1/8.$

- Intuition of proof:
 - Fix some set $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathfrak{X}$ with $|\mathcal{C}| = 2n$,
 - consider \mathcal{D} uniform on \mathcal{C} , and corresponding to arbitrary mappings Y = f(X).
 - Lower-bound worst case L(h_S, D) by the average of L(h_S, D) over all possible choices of f.
- Corollary 5.2 Let X be an infinite domain set and let H be the set of all functions from X to {0,1}. Then H is not PAC learnable.

Error decomposition

$$L(h_{\mathbb{S}}, \mathbb{D}) = \varepsilon_{app} + \varepsilon_{est}$$
$$\varepsilon_{app} = \min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} L(h, \mathbb{D})$$
$$\varepsilon_{est} = L(h_{\mathbb{S}}, \mathbb{D}) - \min_{h \in \mathcal{H}} L(h, \mathbb{D})$$

- Approximation error: ε_{app} .
- Estimation error: ε_{est} .
- Bias-complexity tradeoff:

Increasing \mathcal{H} increases ε_{est} , but decreases ε_{app} .

• Learning theory provides bounds on ε_{est} .

Practice problem

Write out the approximation error and the (expected) estimation error for the case where

- 1. loss is given by the squared prediction error, and
- 2. \mathcal{H} is given by the set of linear predictors.

Setup and basic definitions

VC dimension and the Fundamental Theorem of statistical learning

References

Shattering

From now on, restrict to $Y \in \{0, 1\}$.

Definition 6.3

- A hypothesis class ${\cal H}$
- shatters a finite set $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathfrak{X}$
- if the restriction of \mathcal{H} to C (denoted \mathcal{H}_C)
- is the set of all functions from *C* to {0,1}.
- In this case: $|\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}| = 2^{|\mathcal{C}|}$.

VC dimension

Definition 6.5

- The VC-dimension of a hypothesis class \mathcal{H} , VCdim(\mathcal{H}),
- is the maximal size of a set $\mathcal{C} \subset \mathfrak{X}$ that can be shattered by \mathcal{H} .
- If $\ensuremath{\mathcal{H}}$ can shatter sets of arbitrarily large size
- we say that $\mathcal H$ has infinite VC-dimension.

Corollary of the no free lunch theorem:

- Let ${\mathcal H}$ be a class of infinite VC-dimension.
- Then ${\mathcal H}$ is not PAC learnable.

Examples

- Threshold functions: *h*(*X*) = 1(*X* ≤ *c*).
 VCdim = 1
- Intervals: $h(X) = \mathbf{1}(X \in [a,b])$. VCdim = 2
- Finite classes: $h \in \mathcal{H} = \{h_1, \dots, h_n\}$. VCdim $\leq \log_2(n)$
- *VCdim* is not always # of parameters: $h_{\theta}(X) = \lceil .5sin(\theta X) \rceil$, $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$. *VCdim* = ∞ .

The Fundamental Theorem of Statistical learning

Theorem 6.7

- Let $\ensuremath{\mathcal{H}}$ be a hypothesis class of functions
- from a domain \mathfrak{X} to $\{\mathbf{0},\mathbf{1}\}$,
- and let the loss function be the **0**-**1** loss.

Then, the following are equivalent:

- 1. $\mathcal H$ has the uniform convergence property.
- 2. Any ERM rule is a successful agnostic PAC learner for $\ensuremath{\mathcal{H}}.$
- 3. $\mathcal H$ is agnostic PAC learnable.
- 4. $\mathcal H$ has a finite VC-dimension.

Proof

- 1. \rightarrow 2.: Shown above (Corollary 4.4).
- 2. \rightarrow 3.: Immediate.
- 3. \rightarrow 4.: By the no free lunch theorem.
- 4. \rightarrow 1.: That's the tricky part.
 - Sauer-Shelah-Perles's Lemma.
 - Uniform convergence for classes of small effective size.

• The growth function of ${\mathcal H}$ is defined as

$$au_{{\mathcal H}}(n) := \max_{C \subset {\mathfrak X}: |C| = n} |{\mathcal H}_C|.$$

• Suppose that
$$d = VCdim(\mathcal{H}) \leq \infty$$
.
Then for $n \leq d$, $\tau_{\mathcal{H}}(n) = 2^n$ by definition.

Sauer-Shelah-Perles's Lemma

Lemma 6.10 For $d = VCdim(\mathcal{H}) \leq \infty$,

$$au_{\mathcal{H}}(b) \leq \max_{C \subset \mathcal{X}: |C| = n} |\{B \subseteq C : \mathcal{H} \text{ shatters } B\}|$$

 $\leq \sum_{i=0}^{d} {n \choose i} \leq \left(\frac{en}{d}\right)^{d}.$

- First inequality is the interesting / difficult one.
- Proof by induction.

Uniform convergence for classes of small effective size Theorem 6.11

- For all distributions \mathcal{D} and every $\delta \in (0, 1)$
- with probability of at least 1δ over draws of training samples $\mathcal{S} = \{(X_i, Y_i)\}_{i=1}^n \sim^{iid} \mathcal{D},$
- we have

$$\sup_{h\in\mathcal{H}} |L(h,\mathbb{S}) - L(h,\mathbb{D})| \leq \frac{4 + \sqrt{\log(\tau_{\mathcal{H}}(2n))}}{\delta\sqrt{2n}}$$

Remark

- We already saw that uniform convergence holds for finite classes.
- This shows that uniform convergence holds for classes with polynomial growth of

$$\tau_{\mathcal{H}}(m) = \max_{C \subset \mathcal{X}: |C| = m} |\mathcal{H}_{C}|.$$

• These are exactly the classes with finite VC dimension, by the preceding lemma.

References

Shalev-Shwartz, S. and Ben-David, S. (2014). Understanding machine learning: From theory to algorithms. *Cambridge University Press, chapters 2-6.*